

3153.

**Kathy Cooper**

---

**From:** ecomment@pa.gov  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:22 PM  
**To:** Environment-Committee@pasenate.com; IRRC; eregop@pahousegop.com; environmentalcommittee@pahouse.net; gvitali@pahouse.net; regcomments@pa.gov; apankake@pasen.gov  
**Cc:** ra-epmsdevelopment@pa.gov  
**Subject:** Comment received - Proposed Rulemaking: Radiological Health and Radon Certification Fees; Pennsylvania Radon Mitigation System Tag and Fee



**Re: eComment System**

**The Department of Environmental Protection has received the following comments on Proposed Rulemaking: Radiological Health and Radon Certification Fees; Pennsylvania Radon Mitigation System Tag and Fee.**

**Commenter Information:**

Adam Ginocchi  
PA DEP #2137 ([aginocchi@hotmail.com](mailto:aginocchi@hotmail.com))  
407 Jenny Ln  
New Castle, PA 16101 US

RECEIVED  
IRRC  
2016 JUL 25 AM 8:57

**Comments entered:**

In regards to the proposed rate increase, I would like the Board to consider the fact that an increase of this magnitude will most certainly result in an equally large price increase to the end consumer. I do not believe the 50% hike will stop many testers from continuing to be a part of this program, but I do believe that the resulting price increases passed on to the end consumer will result in far fewer homes being tested. This will in turn hurt us all. I fail to see any upside of this proposal other than a minimal, short term increase in available funds. That being said, from an economic viewpoint it seems counter productive and from a health and environmental prospective it seems much more useless. Any price increase will result in fewer citizens getting their homes tested. Our goal should be to have more homes tested, not fewer. Thank you for listening and please reconsider.

---

No attachments were included as part of this comment.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Shirley

---